IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 3-25\_08

Effective Date: 3/3/08 4-7-08 (if different from order date)

## SAO 247 (02/08) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction AT DANVILLE, VA United States District Court for the MAR 2 5 2008 Western District of Virginia United States of America v. Arthur McKinney ) Case No: 4:02CR70117-001 ) USM No: 09574-084 Date of Previous Judgment: November 10, 2003 (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of the defendant in the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: M GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in DENIED. the last judgment issued) of 120 months is reduced to 96 months I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures) Previous Offense Level: Amended Offense Level: Criminal History Category: Criminal History Category: Previous Guideline Range: 151 to <u>188</u> months Amended Guideline Range: to 151 months II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. A The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. ☐ Other (explain): III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated <u>11/10/2003</u> shall remain in effect.

Jackson Kiser, Senior United States District Judge

Printed name and title